

25X1

Approved For Release 2002/11/07 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020028-9

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Approved For Release 2002/11/07 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020028-9

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that provides a staff security officer to a DOD Office for a national intelligence collection program

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 1 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security DATE:

EVALUATION:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?  
Based upon request of the NRO Office August 20, 1962

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No \_\_\_\_\_  
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

The entire Intell. Community, Indeed, the U. S. Government because it is protection of sources and methods

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No \_\_\_\_\_  
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No \_\_\_\_\_  
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_  
If so, how?

Probably being done as well as can be at present.

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No X  
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No X If so, which component and why?

It has to do with Compartmentation & SSC has the knowledge to guide the community.  
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No X  
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

The Office of Security is responsible for security policy related to the conduct of two DOD/CIA national intelligence collection programs

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 2

COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security

DATE:

EVALUATION:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?  
 2 May 1962 Agreement between DOD & CIA  
 19 August 1969 Agreement between Sec. Def. & DCI

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes ☒ No ☐

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?  
 Program administrators who need advice and guidance re. Security procedures to be used.

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes ☒ No ☐  
 Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes ☒ No ☐  
 Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes ☐ No ☒  
 If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes ☐ No ☒  
 If so, where and why?  
 SSC has the expertise in the Compartmented Field

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?  
 More properly? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes ☐ No ☒  
 If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, what?

Approved For Release 2002/11/07 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020028-9  
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that  
provides security advisors in the COMIREX  
and Sigint/Sors proceedings

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 3

COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security

Center

EVALUATION:

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?  
Memo for Deputy Director of Security from OS Exec. Officer  
(SIGINT/SORS) Historical Precedence goes back to 1956 (no reference  
for COMIREX) 17 January 1970 1956

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No       

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?  
Members of SIGINT/SORS & COMIREX and U. S. Gov't as secure  
procedures are mandatory

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No         
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No         
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes        No X  
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred  
to another OS component or to another Office? Yes        No X  
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?  
More properly? Yes        No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of  
Watergate? Yes        No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without  
significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes        No X  
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more  
important than this one? Yes        No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

The Office of Security Compartmentation required to provide the services of two security officers (One who is Chairman) to the USIB Security Committee's Subcommittee on Compartmentation

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 4

COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security Center

DATE:

EVALUATION:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

Minutes of November SECOM Meeting dated 2 December 1974  
SECOM M-165 dtd 2 December 1974

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No       

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?  
SECOM/USIB

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No         
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No         
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes        No X  
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes        No X  
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes        No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes        No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes        No         
If so, how? This job doesn't relate to agency security it relates to U.S.'s security

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes        No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: ~~Approved For Release 2002/11/07 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020028-9~~ that provides an officer to serve as the Talent Security Officer for the Intelligence Community

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 5

COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security Center

EVALUATION:

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?  
Aug 1969 (Responsibilities of the TALENT Control Officer, CIA)  
Dir of Security is TSO-when and how he delegated responsibility to SSC  
not known. However a new TK Manual is being prepared which does ~~Name~~ a spec  
ific TSO  
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No       
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No       
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No       
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes      No X  
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred  
to another OS component or to another Office? Yes      No X  
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?  
More properly? Yes      No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of  
Watergate? Yes      No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without  
significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes      No X  
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more  
important than this one? Yes      No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2002/11/07 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020028-9  
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security Component that provides an officer to serve as the  
25X1A [ ] Security and Control Officer for  
the Intelligence Community

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 6

COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security  
Center

EVALUATION:

DATE:

25X1

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

[ ] Control System Manual P. 2 Para B of V

21 July 1966

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No     

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

*The Intelligence Community and private  
contractors involved in [ ] projects*

25X1A

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No     

Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No     

Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes      No X

If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred  
to another OS component or to another Office? Yes      No X

If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?  
More properly? Yes      No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of  
Watergate? Yes      No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without  
significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes      No X  
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more  
important than this one? Yes      No X If so, what?

25X1

Approved For Release 2002/11/07 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020028-9

Approved For Release 2002/11/07 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020028-9



IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for providing the services of a Security Officer to effect day-to-day interpretation of SIGINT Security Policy in relation to CIA activities

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 8

COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security Center

EVALUATION:

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

Memo dtd 27 January 1970 to Deputy Director of Security from OS Executive Officer

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No         
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

*CIA AND Community*

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No         
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No         
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes        No X  
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes        No X  
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes        No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes        No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes        No X  
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes        No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2002/11/07 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020028-9  
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Special Security is responsible for participating in the inter and intra-agency committees concerned with the security of sensitive compartmented information.

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B 9- COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security Center

EVALUATION:

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?  
Without being spelled out the Director of Security and Chairman  
SECOM have asked SSC to participate in these committees because  
of SSC's knowledge in the compartmented areas.

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes NA No       
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

N/A

Beneficiary is any committee which receives SSC expertise and I use  
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No       
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes      No       
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes      No X  
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred  
to another OS component or to another Office? Yes      No X  
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?  
More properly? Yes      No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of  
Watergate? Yes      No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without  
significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes      No X  
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more  
important than this one? Yes      No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: ~~Approved For Release 2002/11/07 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020028-9~~ The Office of Security component that provides security guidance to non-USIB Agencies related to the safeguarding of sensitive compartmented material.

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 10

COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security Center

EVALUATION:

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

For COMINT-Communications Intell Security Regs-Annex D dtd Nov 73

DCID 1/14 for compartmented info (this is very general) dtd 1 Jul 68

National Security Act of 1947, as amended gives DCI resp. for protection

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No        sources &

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?        methods

The Non-USIB Community & ultimately U. S. Govt.

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No       

Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No       

Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes        No X

If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes        No X

If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes        No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes        No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes        No X  
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes        No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security Component that provides security surveys of non USIB facilities that will be used to house sensitive compartmented material.

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, II

COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security Center

EVALUATION:

DATE:

Missions & functions of the SSC (para 10) 10 August 1972

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

25X1A

National Security Act of 1947, as amended  
for [ ] Control System Manual-Section V, para B, dtd July 1966

for TALENT August 1969 - Responsibilities of the TALENT Control Officer, CIA  
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes x No    

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

All companies, agencies and orgs that require storage facilities for [ ] TK info.-

25X1A

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes x No    

Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes x No    

Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes     No x

If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes     No x  
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?  
More properly? Yes     No x If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes     No x If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes     No x  
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes     No x If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION APPROVED FOR RELEASE 2002/11/07 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020028-9 that provides security indoctrination to personnel of nonUSIB Agencies who will have access to sensitive compartmented information

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 12

COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security Center

EVALUATION:

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?  
Missions and Functions of the SSC  
(Para 4 ) 10 August 1972

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No       

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

All non-USIB Agencies that get involved in the  
Compartmented game

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No       

Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No       

Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes        No X

If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes        No X

If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes        No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes        No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes        No X  
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes        No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: ~~Approved For Release 2002/11/07 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020028-9~~ responsible  
for accrediting all [ ] facilities as  
to Security requirements

25X1A

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 13

COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security  
Center

EVALUATION:

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?  
Missions and functions of the SSC (para 9) 10 August 1972  
Section 102(d) National Security Act of 1947.  
[ ] Control System Manual Section V. Para B dtd July 1966

25X1A

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No       

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

25X1A

All [ ] facilities

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No         
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No         
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes        No X  
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred  
to another OS component or to another Office? Yes        No X  
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?  
More properly? Yes        No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of  
Watergate? Yes        No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without  
significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes        No X  
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more  
important than this one? Yes        No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: ~~Approved For Release 2002/11/07 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020028-9~~ The Office of Security is responsible for accrediting all TALENT facilities as to Security requirements

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 14

COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security Center

EVALUATION:

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?  
Missions & Functions of the SSC (para 10 & 11) dtd 16 August 1972  
Section 102(d) Nat'l Security Act. of 1947

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No \_\_\_\_\_  
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? \_\_\_\_\_

All Talent Facilities

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No \_\_\_\_\_  
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No \_\_\_\_\_  
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No X  
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No X  
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No X  
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: ~~Approved For Release 2002/11/07 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020028-9~~ The Office of Security component responsible for accrediting CIA emergency couriers for sensitive compartmented information.

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 15

COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security Center

EVALUATION:

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

Missions & Functions of the SSC (para 26) dtd 10 Aug 1972

No other written authorization

Policy developed from dialogue between those in need & SSC for example,

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No 1 memo dtd

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? 6/30/71

Any component in CIA that deals in compartmented info

"Emergency Couriers"

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No       

Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes see below No       

Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes        No X

If so, how?

\*Beneficiaries probably see this requirement as getting in their way operationally. But if we didn't do it every Tom, Dick & Harold would be carrying compartmented info with him.

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes        No X

If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes        No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes        No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes        No X  
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes        No X If so, what?



IDENTIFICATION OF RESPONSIBILITY: Approved For Release 2002/11/07 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020028-9 responsible for accrediting all CIA COMINT facilities as to security requirements

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 16

COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security Center

EVALUATION:

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?  
Communications Intell. Security Regs-Section III-personnel and  
Physical Security. paras 94 & 95 Nov 73  
Missions & Functions of the SSC (para 11) 10 Aug 72

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No       

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?  
All facilities that must store COMINT

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No       

Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No       

Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes        No X  
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes        No X  
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?  
More properly? Yes        No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes        No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes        No X  
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes        No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: ~~Approved For Release 2002/11/07 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020028-9~~ responsible  
for participating in the investigation of  
all incidents involving the compromise of  
sensitive compartmented information

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 17

COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security  
Center

EVALUATION:

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?  
Nat'l Security Act of 1947, Section 102(d) protection of

25X1A Sources & methods  
[ ] Control System Manual, Section V, (para B) dtd July 66

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No     

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

I suppose generally speaking, investigation of compromise  
enhances security of entire programs, so U. S. Govt benefits

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No     

Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No     

Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes      No X

If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred  
to another OS component or to another Office? Yes      No X

If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?  
More properly? Yes      No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of  
Watergate? Yes      No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without  
significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes      No       
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more  
important than this one? Yes      No      If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

Approved For Release 2002/11/07 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020028-9

The Office of Security, Comp that serves as the focal point within the CIA for the reporting and investigation of compromises of intelligence sources and methods through their publication in the public news media

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 18

COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security Center.

EVALUATION:

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

Nat'l Security Act of 1947/Section 102(d)

Missions and Functions of the SSC (Para 18) dtd 10 August 72

USIB Memo dtd 7 June 1971 "Public Disclosure of Classified Intell. Info.

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No     

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

The Intell Community

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No       
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No       
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes      No X  
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes      No X  
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes      No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes      No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes      No X  
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes      No X If so, what?

STATINTL

Approved For Release 2002/11/07 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020028-9

Approved For Release 2002/11/07 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020028-9